	Case 2:25-cv-01565-AC Docume	ent 10	Filed 06/27/25	Page 1 of 2		
1						
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
10						
11	EARNELL HUNT,		No. 2:25-cv-1565	-DJC AC PS		
12	Plaintiff,					
13	v.		<u>ORDER</u>			
14	GEICO,					
15	Defendant.					
16						
17	Plaintiff is proceeding in this act	ion in pr	o se. The action wa	as accordingly	referred to the	
18	undersigned for pretrial matters by E.D. Cal. R. ("Local Rule") 302(c)(21). This action was					
19	removed from San Joaquin County Supe	rior Cou	urt on June 4, 2025.	ECF No. 1. C	On June 11,	
20	2025, defendant filed a motion to dismis	s set to 1	be heard on July 30,	2025. ECF N	Vo. 3. Pursuant	
21	to Local Rule 230(c), plaintiff was required to file an opposition or notice of non-opposition					
22	within 14 days of the motion being filed. In this case, the deadline was June 25, 2025. Plaintiff					
23	did not file an opposition or statement of non-opposition.					
24	Good cause appearing, IT IS HE	REBY (ORDERED that the	hearing date of	f July 30, 2025	
25	is VACATED to be re-set as necessary.	Plaintif	f shall show cause, i	in writing, with	hin 14 days,	
26	why the failure to respond to the pending motion should not result in a recommendation that this					
27	case be dismissed for failure to prosecute. The filing of an opposition or statement of non-					
28	opposition within this timeframe will serve as cause and will discharge this order. If plaintiff fail					
			1			

	Case 2:25-cv-01565-AC Document 10 Filed 06/27/25 Page 2 of 2
1	to respond, the undersigned will recommend that this case be dismissed for failure to prosecute
2	pursuant to Local Rule 110.
3	IT IS SO ORDRED.
4	DATED: June 26, 2025 Muss Clane
5	ALLISON CLAIRE
6	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	2
	\angle